

Jeffrey & Sheri L. Peterson
129 Lower Colony Rd.
Wellington, NV 89444

Leland C. & Marion W. Peterson
526 Hwy. 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Phelps Dodge Corporation
Real Estate Department
2600 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Pinenut Ranch Corporation
c/o David Trafel
P.O. Box 125
Smith, NV 89430

Pitchfork Ranch, Inc.
P.O. Box 851
Yerington, NV 89447

Walter & Pauline Plett
411 Pearl Street
Yerington, NV 89447

John & Nancy Poli
P.O. Box 1012
Yerington, NV 89447

Evelyn Polish
33 Smith Gage Road
Smith, NV 89430

Randy & Tracee Porter
P.O. Box 138
Smith, NV 89430

Pruett Ranches, Inc.
50 Artesia Rd.
Wellington, NV 89444

Pumpkin Hollow Farms, Inc.
20 East Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Earlene D. Purrell Trust
Earlene D. Purrell, Trustee
6 W. Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

James E. & Karen M. Purrell
6 West Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Pursel Ranch, a Partnership
15 Borsini Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Melvin E. Pursel 1998 Trust
Melvin E. Pursel, Trustee
42 MacKenzie Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Norman & Norma Pursel
Delbert & James Pursel
P.O. Box 106
Yerington, NV 89447

Basil & Pauline Leveille Quilici Revocable
Living Trust
Basil Angelo & Pauline Leveille Quilici,
Trustees
P.O. Box 637
Yerington, NV 89447

Yvonne Quilici
Marianne Menesini
#1 Hidden Valley Ct.
Yerington, NV 89447

Hector & Guadalupe V. Quiroga
Carlos G. & Ofelia R. Quiroga Revocable
Trust
Carlos G. & Ofelia R. Quiroga, Trustees
1336 Leonard Road
Gardnerville, NV 89410

Charles Edward & Mary Lou Rader
P.O. Box 591
Yerington, NV 89447
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
MICHAEL CASEY)**

Peter D. & Nancy L. Raisbeck
167 Burke Dr.
Wellington, NV 89444

Steven & Kathleen Rasmussen
26011 Shadow Point
San Antonio, TX 78258

Martin & Diana Reich
3830 Slate Court
Wellington, NV 89444

The Renegade Corp.
P. O. Box 74
Wellington, NV 89444

Renner & Associates
5 Mason Road
Yerington, NV 89447
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY F. M.
FULSTONE)**

Tosca Renner
2493 Highway 208
Smith, NV 89430

Ralph & Glenna Richardson
15 Campbell Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Ritter Family Trust
John G. Jr. & Lucille C. Ritter, Trustees
John Gustave Ritter, III
34 Aiazzi Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Elmer C. & Geneva K. Robertson
David H. & Kathleen A. Roots
199 Pete Hendrichs Rd.
Yerington, NV 89447

Douglas Ray & S. Joann Roderick
61 Giorgi Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Douglas Ray & S. Joann Roderick Living
Trust
Douglas Ray & S. Joann Roderick,
Trustees
61 Giorgi Ln.
Yerington, NV 89447

Joseph E. & Laurel D. Roderick
19A East Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Jeffrey A. & Sharon M. Rogers
P.O. Box 431
Yerington, NV 89447

Ralph S. & Carole J. Rogers
24 Peeplas Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Kenneth J. & Linda Roiston
2514 Calle Narciso
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Andrew Gene Rosaschi
13500 Shaker Boulevard #703
Cleveland, OH 44120

Angelo Joseph Rosaschi
P.O. Box 22
Wellington, NV 89444

Lester & Connie Rosaschi
2930 State Route 338
Wellington, NV 89444

Romeo Rosaschi Jr., Inc.
702 S. Main St.
Yerington, NV 89447

Stanley Thomas Rosaschi
623 Wasatch Way
Tooele, UT 84074

Rupe Family Trust Agreement
Marvin & Jean Rupe, Trustees
359 Lower Colony Road
Wellington, NV 89444

Paul J. & Christena M. Santos
104 Highway 95-A East
Yerington, NV 89447

Lana S. Sargenti-Evans
207 Paloma Ave.
San Rafael, CA 94901

Louis V. Scatena
23 Baker Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

David A. Sceirine
P.O. Box 1013
Yerington, NV 89447

David A. & Joseph E. Sceirine
P.O. Box 1013
Yerington, NV 89447

David, Joe & Jayne Sceirine
P.O. Box 1013
Yerington, NV 89447

Herbert L. & Susan L. Sceirine Revocable
Trust
Herbert L. & Susan L. Sceirine, Trustees
11 Ashley Dr.
Yerington, NV 89447

Jackie Sceirine
P.O. Box 249
Yerington, NV 89447

Joseph E. Sceirine
P.O. Box 1013
Yerington, NV 89447

Robert J. & Madge E. Schendel
P.O. Box L
Yerington, NV 89447

Lisa A. Schirmeister
Joseph W. Johnson
16518 Windsor Ave.
Whittier, CA 90603

Madeline Schram
625 Foothill Dr.
Pacifica, CA 94044

Donna R. Schuster Family Trust
Donna R. Schuster, Trustee
4850 Idlewild Dr.
Reno, NV 89509

Frederick W. & Patricia R. Schwake
P.O. Box 16
Smith, NV 89430
(WATER RIGHTS OWNED BY SUTTER)

Arnold Jr. & Pauline Sciarani Trust
Agreement
Arnold Jr. & Pauline Sciarani, Trustees
#1 Tucker Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Eugo Sciarani Trust Agreement
Eugo Sciarani, Trustee
John Sciarani
26 Sciarani Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Eugo Sciarani Trust Agreement
Eugo Sciarani, Trustee
John Sciarani Trust Agreement
John Sciarani, Trustee
Paul T. & Linda Sciarani
26 Sciarani Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Glenn Sciarani
150 Densmore Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

James & Donna Sciarani
20 Sciarani Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Nelson A. Sciarani Family Trust
Nelson A. & Mildred G. Sciarani, Trustees
150 Densmore Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Art J. Sciutto
317 State Route 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Vance C. & Cynthia Scribner
23 Circle Dr.
Wellington, NV 89444

Stanley D. & Judy A. Seifert
9450 E. Collier Road
Acampo, CA 95220

Mariana Sepulveda
P.O. Box 206
Yerington, NV 89447

Donald R. & Sophia Seubert
P.O. Box 43
Smith, NV 89430

Mike & Carol Sharkey Family Trust
Michael P. & Carol M. Sharkey, Trustees
P. O. Box 126
June Lake, CA 93529

Daniel Paul Shehady
P.O. Box 154
Wellington, NV 89444

Donald & Teresa Shehady Trust
Donald & Teresa Shehady, Trustees
2651 Highway 208
Smith, NV 89430

Sandra Shehady
568 Lower Colony Road
Wellington, NV 89444

Michael Sherlock
Cherie C. Sherlock
1368 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Robert D. & Michael E. Sherlock
1368 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

E. Duane & Russell B. Shively 1991
Revocable Trust
Russelle B. & E. Duane Shively, Co-
Trustees
1365 E. Yosemite Ave.
Merced, CA 95340

Janice K. Shoemaker Trust Agreement
Janice K. Shoemaker, Trustee
P.O. Box 1013
Yerington, NV 89447

Sierra Pacific Power Co.
c/o Right of Way / Real Property
P.O. Box 10100
Reno, NV 89520-0024

Frank A. & Martina L. Siino
4 Norton Lane
Wellington, NV 89444

Edward B. Silva
5-B West Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Gary C. & Tilcean L. Silva
11540 Clay Station Rd.
Herald, CA 95638
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
WEAVER)**

Paul S. & Dorthella A. Silva
P.O. Box 307
Yerington, NV 89447

Silverado, Inc.
4160 Longknife Road
Reno, NV 89509

Stanley C. & Leila H. Simmons
P.O. Box 90
Smith, NV 89430

Stanley C. Simmons & Patricia Simmons
P.O. Box 90
Smith, NV 89430

Six N Ranch, Inc.
P.O. Box 49
Smith, NV 89430

Daniel G. & Shawna S. Smith
P.O. Box 228
Wellington, NV 89444

Ermon W. & Mary Lee Smith
891 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Glen H. & Barbara J. Smith
134 Burke Drive
Wellington, NV 89444
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
SNYDER LIVESTOCK)**

Grant B. Smith
Gaila M. Smith
1145 Hwy 339
Yerington, NV 89447

Harry E. & Ann M. Smith
81 Hwy 95A East
Yerington, NV 89447

Mark A. Smith
P.O. Box 1600
Georgetown, CA 95634

Michael A. & Eva L. Smith
P.O. Box 1691
Winnemucca, NV 89446

Patricia Ann Smith
30 Highway 95-A East
Yerington, NV 89447

Smith Ranch Partnership
Glen H. & Barbara J. Smith, General
Partners
134 Burke Drive
Wellington, NV 89444

Smith Valley Cattle Feeders
P.O. Box 18
Smith, NV 89430

Snyder Livestock Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 550
Yerington, NV 89447

Eddie R. & Theresa Snyder
P.O. Box 550
Yerington, NV 89447

Frances Snyder
#12 Rio Vista
Yerington, NV 89447

Lucy A. Snyder
P.O. Box 217
Yerington, NV 89447

Dave Jr. & Joan Soderstrom
P.O. Box 87
Smith, NV 89430

Soilfume, Inc.
P.O. Box 1738
Watsonville, CA 95077

Kenneth A. & Marge O. Sorenson
7440 W. 4th St., #46
Reno, NV 89523

Julie M. Souza
P.O. Box 790
Minden, NV 89423

Peter J. Jr. & Theresa D. Spinuzzi
833 West Bridge St.
Yerington, NV 89447

A Company of Spragues
c/o Wayne S. Chimarusti, Esq.
300 West Second St.
Carson City, NV 89703
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
LELAND L. SPRAGUE)**

Roc A. & Kristine A. Stacey
31 Smith Gage Rd.
Smith, NV 89430

John D. & Marlyse R. Stanley
94 Julian Way
Yerington, NV 89447

Kirk Andrew & Christy DeLong Stanton
27 Borsini Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Glenn M. & Ruth L. Stark
31 MacKenzie Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Charles R. & Karen R. Steele
34 MacKenzie Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Jason R. & Jennifer R. Steel
28 Peeples Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Kim & Kathleen Stewart Family Trust
Agreement
Kim K. & Kathleen L. Stewart, Co-Trustees
P.O. Box 149
Smith, NV 89430

Michael B. Stewart
P.O. Box 40
Empire, NV 89450

Donna J. Stillfield
533 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

John M. & Julia T. Stitt
580 W. Central Ave., Suite C
Brea, CA 92821

Edward & Linda A. Stockton
171 Hwy 95A North
Yerington, NV 89447

Viola Stoneburner
103 Hwy 95A North
Yerington, NV 89447

Judith Lee Stovall
2606 Highway 208
Wellington, NV 89444

Strosnider Family Trust
Kenneth O. & Carolyn M. Strosnider, Co-
Trustees
P.O. Box 26
Smith, NV 89430

Michael A. & Michelle A. Sturge
3 Hall Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Helen M. Sturtevant
Charles F. & Luetta A. Mann
510 Day Lane
Wellington, NV 89444

Helen M. Sturtevant
Luetta A. Mann
510 Day Lane
Wellington, NV 89444

Sunrise Ranch LLC, a Nevada Limited
Liability Company
c/o Lewis Street Management Corp.
1930 Lewis Street S.E.
Salem, OR 97302

Sutter Ranch Corporation
11601 Wilshire Blvd #1920
Los Angeles, CA 90025

George D. Swainston Family Trust
George D. & Dorothy W. Swainston,
Trustees
P.O. Box 45
Wellington, NV 89444

Don G. & Phyllis B. Taber
P.O. Box 15
Bridgeport, CA 93517

Janice E. Tamagni
101 Pete Henrichs Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Raymond E. Tamagni Family Trust
Janice E. Tamagni, Sole Trustee
Regina Rae West
Elva Renee Menesini
Risa Elizabeth Boyle
Mary Roseanne Elliot
101 Pete Henrichs Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Lloyd T. & Dola F. Taylor
40 Campbell Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Douglas & May Thom
1280 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Terry A. & Katherine L. Thomas
519 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Tracy Glaze & Ami Thomas
515 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Donna Jeanne Balaam Thomas (Stillfield)
533 Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Thompson Family Trust
Clifford A. & Evelyn L. Thompson, Trustees
22 Manha Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Tibbals Family Trust Agreement
Donald H. & Joy M. Tibbals, Trustees
2 Austin
Yerington, NV 89447

Joseph William Tibbals
Carol Joyce Tibbals
941 Hwy 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Dick G. & Judith A. Tijsseling
30 East Tognoli Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Jerry E. Tilley Trust
Jerry E. Tilley, Trustee
11418 S. 105th E. Ave.
Bixby, OK 74008

Robin L. Titus
2819 Hwy 208
Wellington, NV 89444

Thomas J. & Kathleen A. Toigo
33 Mason Rd.
Yerington, NV 89447
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
MICHAEL CASEY)**

Ross D. & Joan C. Turner
81 Lower Colony Road
Wellington, NV 89444

Linda Rush Turria
1693 County Road, Suite B
Minden, NV 89423

C. W. & V. B. Twombly Trust
Clifford W. and Virginia B. Twombly & Jean
T. Snook, Trustees
1550 (83) Rory Lane
Simi Valley, CA 93063

Uhland Family Trust
Craig R. & Shawn Ward Uhland, Trustees
345 Upper Colony Rd.
Wellington, NV 89444

William W. & Lodema L. Umberger
11 Hall Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

United States of America
Bureau of Indian Affairs
1677 Hot Springs Road
Carson City, NV 89706

United States of America
Toiyabe National Forest
1200 Franklin Way
Sparks, NV 89431

VGR Limited Partnership
c/o Patricia D. Clark
P. O. Box 1923
Minden, NV 89423

Hugo and Shirley L. Van Vliet
15799 Carrolton Road
Escalon, CA 95320

Richard D. & Julie M. Vaughan
1184 Hwy 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Vawter Tierney Living Trust
James S. Vawter & Karen M. Tierney, Co-
Trustees
P. O. Box 533
Yerington, NV 89447

Timothy C. & Kelly A. Veil
77 Highway 95-A East
Yerington, NV 89447

William K. Vicencio
Susan Steneri
231 N. Whitacre
Yerington, NV 89447

David W. & Shelly Vick
P. O. Box 57
Smith, NV 89430

William Michael & Virginia Sue Virdin
10 Borsini Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Bruce G. & Beverly K. Vogel
530 South Highway 208
Yerington, NV 89447

W and L Trust
Wayne E. & Lauralee Bennett, Trustees
1199 Nidrah
El Cajon, CA 92020

Walker Lake Working Group, Inc.
P. O. Box 867
Hawthorne, NV 89415

Walker River, L.P.
c/o Garrett Sutton
548 California Ave.
Reno, NV 89509

Walker River Irrigation District
P. O. Box 820
Yerington, NV 89447

Walker River Land Corp.
Attn: Edward Chilson
665 Simonds Road
Williamstown, MA 01267

Walker River Ranch Limited Partnership
c/o Leo Bergin
P. O. Box 2670
Reno, NV 89505

Deirdre Wallace
Dennis Boardman
881 Hwy 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Warburton Family Trust
Joseph A. & Winifred P. Warburton, Co-
Trustees
20 Moore Lane
Reno, NV 89502

David T. Warr
John Warr
1 Farrell Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Wass Investments, a Nevada Limited
Partnership
1071 Oro Way
Gardnerville, NV 89410

John R. & Lura K. Weaver
59 Rowntree Lane
Smith, NV 89430

Weaver Properties, L.L.C.
510 Highway 338
Wellington, NV 89444

Robert D. & Linda K. Webb
815 W. Bridge Street, Sp. 47
Yerington, NV 89447
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
BOBRICK)**

Robert G. & Betty J. Weiser
3436 Rocky Ridge Blvd.
Sparks, NV 89431

Michael E., Sr. & Cheryl Ann Wellington
802 Hwy 208
Yerington, NV 89447

Robin Wheat
7075 Dover Way
Arvada, CO 80004

Douglas M. & Julie Ann White
105A North Meridian Rd.
Lovelock, NV 89419

Joan Wilder
149 Burke Drive
Wellington, NV 89444

Wilens Family Trust
11 Nordyke Road
Yerington, NV 89447

Philip & Vivian Wilkinson
310 Memory Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Harold & Beverly Willard
Silver Sierra Mortgage Inc.
Chisum Incorporated
Stewart Hay Company, Inc.
Whipple Electric Inc.
Select Investments, Inc.
Byrd Concrete & Grading
Carson Valley Electric Supply Company,
Inc.

Peter Perumean, Jr., as Executor of the
Estate of Pete Perumean, Sr.
The Cecilia Perumean Trust,
Peter Perumean, Jr., Trustee
James D. & Terri Bednark
c/o Wayne S. Chimarusti, Esq.
300 W. Second St.
Carson City, NV 89703
**(WATER RIGHTS RESERVED BY
LELAND SPRAGUE)**

Williams Revocable Living Trust
Jack H. & Evangeline A. Williams, Trustees
371 Diamond Oaks Road
Roseville, CA 95678

Kenneth & Elizabeth M. Williams Trust
Kenneth & Elizabeth M. Williams, Trustees
121 Lidster Ave.
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Leonard S. & Emily Martin Williams Trust
Leonard S. & Emily Martin Williams,
Trustees
25 E. Pursel Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Phillip A. & Joni Fay Williams
171 Pete Hendrichs Rd.
Yerington, NV 89447

Michael C. & Virginia M. Williford
839 Country Club
Stansbury Park, UT 84074

Daniel R. & Karen L. Wines
14 Borsini Lane
Yerington, NV 89447

Tom and Candyce Wipfli
P. O. Box 97
Wellington, NV 89444

Mary Mackedie Wright
P. O. Box 362
Wellington, NV 89444

Wright Living Trust
Wayne D. & Nadia Wright, Trustees
73 Rivers Rd.
Wellington, NV 89444

Weston L. Wright
Sandra Lynn McCombs
30 Circle Dr.
Wellington, NV 89444

City of Yerington
102 S. Main St.
Yerington, NV 89447

Yerington Associates
P. O. Box 306
Lewiston, ID 83501

Jack C. & Darlene S. Zippwald
41 Smith Gage Rd.
Smith, NV 89430

Application No. 8928 Certificate Record No. 8959 Book 29 Page 8959

THE STATE OF NEVADA
 CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER

WHEREAS, H. E. Rowntree, Agent has presented to the State Engineer
 of the State of Nevada Proof of Application of Water to Beneficial Use, from

West Walker River

through ditches for
Irrigation

purposes. The point of diversion of water from the source is as follows: NW 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec. 14, T. 9N,
R. 22E., M.D.B. 6M., at the point at which the West Walker River
crosses the Nevada-California state line
Counties,
situated in Douglas and Lyon ~~Goshute~~ State of Nevada.

Now Know Ye, That the State Engineer, under the provisions of Section 72, Chapter 140, Statutes of 1913
has determined the date,
 source, purpose, amount of appropriation, and the place where such water is appurtenant, as follows:

Name of appropriator Walker River Irrigation Districts

Post-office address Yerington, Nevada

Amount of appropriation 491.2 c.f.s., but not to exceed 89,612 ac-ft/
season

Period of use, from May 1 to July 31 of each year

Date of priority of appropriation June 6, 1919

Description of land to which water is appurtenant:
See Exhibit "A" attached

This certificate is issued subject to the terms of the permit
and with the understanding that the total duty of water shall not
exceed 4.0 ac-ft/acre/season from any and/or all sources. It is
further understood that the total number of acres irrigated under
this certificate during any one season shall not exceed 30,000 acres.

See Exhibit "B" attached for location and common name of
downstream diversion ditches and canals used for distribution of
water.

The right to water hereby determined is limited to the amount which can be beneficially used, not to exceed the amount above specified, and the use is restricted to the place and for the purpose as set forth herein.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I ROLAND D. WESTERGARD, State Engineer

Compared lk/ga of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this

Recorded 15th day of October, A. D. 19 76

County Records.

Roland D. Westergard
 State Engineer

Application No. 35017 Certificate Record No. 8860 Book 29 Page 8860

THE STATE OF NEVADA
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER

WHEREAS, H. E. Rowntree, Agent has presented to the State Engineer of the State of Nevada Proof of Application of Water to Beneficial Use, from East Walker and Main Walker Rivers through ditches for Irrigation purposes. The point of diversion of water from the source is as follows: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 35, T.7N., R.25E., M.D.B.&M., or at a point from which the SW corner of Sec. 31, T.7N., R.26E., M.D.B.&M. bears S.36°36'21"W., a distance of 6353.69 ft. situated in Lyon County, State of Nevada.

Now Know Ye, That the State Engineer, under the provisions of NRS 533.4, has determined the date, source, purpose, amount of appropriation, and the place where such water is appurtenant, as follows:

Name of appropriator Walker River Irrigation District

Post-office address Yerington, Nevada

Amount of appropriation 349.1 c.f.s., but not to exceed 63,638 ac.-ft./season

Period of use, from May 1 to July 31 of each year

Date of priority of appropriation April 11, 1969

Description of land to which water is appurtenant:
See Exhibit "A" attached.

This certificate is issued subject to the terms of the permit and with the understanding that the total duty of water shall not exceed 4.0 acre-feet/acre/season from any and/or all sources.

See Exhibit "B" attached for location and common name of downstream diversion ditches and canals used for distribution of water.

The right to water hereby determined is limited to the amount which can be beneficially used, not to exceed the amount above specified, and the use is restricted to the place and for the purpose as set forth herein.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, ROLAND D. WESTERGARD, State Engineer

Compared, lk/ga of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this

Recorded, Bk Page 15th day of October, A. D. 1976

County Records.

State Engineer

Application No. 25813 Certificate Record No. 8861 Book 29 Page 8861

THE STATE OF NEVADA
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER

WHEREAS, H. E. Rowntree, agent has presented to the State Engineer of the State of Nevada Proof of Application of Water to Beneficial Use, from an underground source through well, pump and ditches to irrigation

purpose: The point of diversion of water from the source is as follows: SW 1/4 NE 1/4, Sec. 11, T. 13N., R. 25E., M.D.B. & M., or at a point from which the SW Corner of said Sec. 11, bears S. 38°00'38"W., a distance of 4649.87 feet situated in LYON County, State of Nevada.

Now KNOW YE, That the State Engineer, under the provisions of NRS 533.425, has determined the date source, purpose, amount of appropriation, and the place where such water is appurtenant, as follows:

Name of appropriator: Walker River Irrigation District

Post-office address: Yerington, Nevada

Amount of appropriation: 9.01 c.f.s., but not to exceed 3269.63 ac.-ft., sea:

Period of use, from April 1 to September 30 of each year

Date of priority of appropriation: October 1, 1970

Description of land to which water is appurtenant:
See Exhibit "A" attached.

This certificate is issued subject to the terms of the permit and with the understanding that the total duty of water shall not exceed 4.0 acre-feet/acre from any and/or all sources.

The right to water hereby determined is limited to the amount which can be beneficially used, not to exceed the amount above specified, and the use is restricted to the place and for the purpose as set forth herein.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, ROLAND D. WESTERGARD, State Engineer:

Compared lk/ga of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this

Recorded Bk Page 15th day of October A. D. 19 76

County Records

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Serial No. 9405

TO APPROPRIATE THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Date of first receipt and filing in State Engineer's office Jan. 23, 1931
 Returned to applicant for correction Apr. 2, 1932
 Corrected application filed May 26, 1932

The undersigned Walker River Irrigation District
Name of applicant
 of Vernington, County of Lyons,
 State of Nevada, hereby make 3 application for
 permission to appropriate the public waters of the State of Nevada, as
 hereinafter stated. (If applicant is a corporation, give date and place
 of incorporation.) _____

1. The source of the proposed appropriation is West Walker River
Name of stream, lake, or other source
and tributaries.
which includes 50,000 acre feet refill, so as to
2. The amount of water applied for is 200,000 acre feet, / second-feet.
take entire river flow estimated at One second-foot equals 44 gallons' water 3500 c.f.a.
3. The water to be used for Irrigation & Domestic
Irrigation, power, mining, stock watering, domestic, or other use
4. The water is to be diverted from its source at the following point:
(see rider)

Describe as best within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey, or by course and distance to a section corner. If on unsurveyed land, it should be so stated.

IF THE WATER IS TO BE USED FOR IRRIGATION, SUPPLY THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

- (a) Number of acres to be irrigated is 100,000 acres
- (b) Description of land to be irrigated All irrigable lands in the
Describe by legal subdivisions, or if an unsurveyed land it should
boundaries of Walker River Irrigation District as in the list com-
be so stated and a description provided in accordance with special instruction from the State Engineer when application is returned for correction.
prising the lands of the said district irrigable from the West and
Main Walker Rivers. (See rider).

- (c) Use will begin about Jan. 1st and end about Dec. 31st, of each year.
Month Month

IF WATER IS TO BE USED FOR POWER, MINING, STOCK WATERING, OR OTHER USE, SUPPLY THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

- (d) Power to be developed is not contemplated now
works to be located XXXXXX power
- (e) Power to be developed not contemplated now.
Give location of place of use by legal subdivisions
- (f) Point of return of water to stream not contemplated now.
Describe in some manner as point of diversion
- (g) State number and kinds of animals to be watered _____
- (h) Use will begin about Jan. 1st and end about Dec. 1st, of each year.
Month Month
- (i) Remarks This application is intended to complete the storage ori-
ginally contemplated in Topaz Lake Reservoir, as well as the original
Hoye Canyon proposal because of less construction cost.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORKS
(see rider)

State engineer is asked to be directed, whether by dam or other works, whether through pipes, ditches, flumes, or other conduits. If water is to be stored in reservoirs, it should be so stated and the location of the reservoir should be given with reference to the legal subdivisions.

5. Estimated cost of works \$750,000.00
6. Estimated time required to construct works 10 years.
7. Remarks Owing to the fact that additional drill holes may develop weaknesses in the foundation rock, the right is reserved to make suitable changes in the class and character of the proposed dam and other works.

Walker River Irrigation District, Applicant.

By W. M. Kearney
its Attorney
Box 295,
Reno, Nevada.

Compared _____

This sheet inspected _____

_____, Engineer.

APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions: This permit is issued subject to all vested rights and prior rights for storage on the West Walker River system. The State reserves the right to regulate the use of the water herein granted at any and all times.

The amount of water to be appropriated shall be limited to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, and not to exceed 200,000 acre-feet which includes 50,000 acre-feet refill -- 3500 c.f.s. direct flow.

Actual construction work shall begin on or before February 18, 1955

Proof of commencement of work shall be filed before March 18, 1955

Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence and be completed on or before February 18, 1957

Proof of completion of work shall be filed before March 18, 1957

Application of water to beneficial use shall be made on or before _____


February 18, 1965. Proof of the application of water to beneficial

use must be filed with State Engineer on or before March 18, 1965

Map filed May 27, 1932

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 18th day

of August, 1954


State Engineer.

INSTRUCTIONS TO APPLICANTS

Application should be made out in detail on the form prescribed by the State Engineer.

Applications should be typewritten or filled out with ink.

An application is not a permit to appropriate water until after its approval by the State Engineer. Under a permit, the consent of the State is given, in a manner provided by law, to acquire a water right and gives the permittee an inchoate right which will ripen into a legal and complete appropriation, only upon completion of the works of diversion and the placing of the water to beneficial use, and the filing of proofs thereto, together with such maps as may be required. Upon approval (or rejection) of any application, a copy of the original application with such approval, or rejection, endorsed thereon, will be returned to the applicant. The terms of the permit will show on the endorsement, and be a guide for the applicant.

The following rules should be complied with in making application:

Under Question No. 1.—Where the source of the water desired is from more than one stream, lake, spring, underground, or other body of water, separate applications must be made out for each source; provided, however, that when the numerous sources have their confluence above the point of diversion one application will serve to appropriate the water, by giving the name of the main stream at the point of diversion. The application should state the name of the stream, including its tributaries.

Under Question No. 2.—The amount of water applied for should be limited to the amount that can be put to beneficial use. The statute provides that the State Engineer in determining the amount of water to be granted in any permit shall take into consideration the irrigation requirements in the section of the State in which the appropriation is to be made. Therefore, the applicant in answer to this question should base the amount on what he believes will be necessary, and if possible in addition to the cubic feet now required in cubic feet per second, give the duty of water in acre-feet per acre per irrigation season.

Under Question No. 3.—Ordinarily only one use of water can be named in each application. If domestic purposes is included, however, two uses can be named. For instance, if the application stated "For Irrigation and Domestic Purposes" it shall be allowed; but if "Power and Irrigation" are named in one application, it shall not be allowed, but the application will be returned for correction.

Under Question No. 4.—The point of diversion is one of vital importance in the application, as upon the location of the point of diversion depends the question of interference with prior rights. The point of diversion must be described as being within a forty-acre legal subdivision, or if on unsurveyed lands, a course and distance should be given to the nearest legal subdivision corner and also the approximate forty-acre legal subdivision the point would lie within if public lands surveys were projected on the unsurveyed area. The actual location of the point of diversion can later be shown on an amended application following a survey. In all cases a map of a survey made by a licensed State Water Right Surveyor must be filed in support of said application, prior to its finalization, and must show the course and distance to a legal subdivision corner, or in the event a corner cannot be located within a distance of six miles, the distance to a definite and well-known fixed monument or object approved by the State Engineer.

Except under special conditions, there shall be accepted only one point of diversion in each application, for the reason that an entire stream could be devoted by one application if more than one point of diversion were permitted to be named in each filing. In cases where the diversions, if there be more than one, are on the same forty-acre tract, and are required to economically handle the water, the application for more than one point of diversion will be considered.

Under Question No. 5(a).—The total number of acres of land should be stated with reasonable accuracy. The quantity of water allowed in the permit, endorsed thereon by the State Engineer, is determined by the number of acres to be irrigated, the duty of water, as well as the amount of unappropriated water in the stream.

Under Question No. 5(b).—There should be given a description of the land to be irrigated by legal subdivisions. In the event that the land proposed to be irrigated is unsurveyed, then give the approximate location of such land, together with the township and range wherein such land is situated.

Under Question No. 5(c).—Give the time when the use of water for irrigation purposes will begin, and also when it will end.

Under Question No. 5(d).—If the application is for power purposes, give the theoretical horsepower to be developed, and the vertical head under which the power is to be developed.

Under Question No. 5(e).—If application for power or stock watering purposes, give a description of the location of the proposed place of use, by legal subdivisions, or if by course and distance to a section corner, as required in answer to Question 4 above.

Under Question No. 5(f).—Where water applied for is to be returned to the stream, give a description of the proposed point of return, as described in answer to Question 4 above.

Under Question No. 5(g).—State number and kind of animals to be watered at place of use.

Under Question No. 5(h).—Give time when use of water for stock watering purposes will begin and when it will end.

Under Description of Proposed Works.—Under description of proposed works, state by what means the water is to be diverted from the stream, whether by dams, ditches, pipe lines or other conduit. Give the size of such ditches, pipe lines, etc., and the proposed grade that each will have from the point of diversion, to enable this office to determine the capacity of each. The size of the ditch should be consistent with the amount of water applied for under Question No. 2.

If the water is to be stored in a reservoir, give its location with reference to the least subdivision or subdivisions. If the reservoir, or ditch, is to be located on unsurveyed lands, the rules and regulations of the Department of the Interior should be followed precisely. Such rules and regulations are embodied in a pamphlet furnished by the Department of the Interior, called "Regulations Concerning Right of Way Over Public Lands and Reservations for Canals, Ditches, and Reservoirs," approved by the Secretary of the Interior, June 8, 1904. The maps and field notes of such reservoir should conform strictly with these regulations and a copy filed with the office of the State Engineer.

The application shall bear the signature of the applicant, his agent or attorney.

FEES

The following fees shall be collected by the State Engineer in advance, and shall be accounted for and paid by him into the General Fund of the State Treasury once each month; provided, that ten dollars (\$10) shall be the minimum fee for issuing and recording any permit:

- (a) For examining and filing an application for permit to appropriate water, twenty-five dollars (\$25), which shall include the cost of publication, which publication fee is hereby fixed at fifteen dollars (\$15).
- (b) For examining and filing an application for permit to change the point of diversion, manner of use, or place of use, thirty dollars (\$30), which shall include the cost of permit should the same issue thereunder, and the cost of publication of such application.
- (c) For issuing and recording a permit to appropriate water for irrigation purposes, ten cents per acre for each acre to be irrigated, up to and including one hundred acres; and five cents for each acre in excess of one hundred acres, up to and including one thousand acres; and three cents for each acre in excess of one thousand acres.
- (d) For issuing and recording permit for power purposes, five cents for each theoretical horsepower to be developed; and for issuing final certificate under permit for power purposes, twenty-five cents for each theoretical horsepower to be developed up to and including one hundred horsepower; and twenty cents for each horsepower in excess of one hundred horsepower up to and including one thousand horsepower; and fifteen cents for each horsepower in excess of one thousand.
- (e) For issuing and recording permit to store water, twenty-five dollars (\$25), and for issuing final certificate under permit to store water, five cents for each acre-foot of water stored up to and including one thousand acre-feet; and three cents for each acre-foot in excess of one thousand.
- (f) For issuing and recording permit to appropriate water for any other purpose, \$10 for each second foot of water applied for, or fraction thereof.
- (g) For filing secondary permit under reservoir permit, \$5; for approving and recording permit under reservoir permit, \$5.
- (h) For filing proof of commencement of work, \$1.
- (i) For filing proof of completion of work, under any permit, \$1.
- (j) For filing any protest, \$10; for filing application for extension of time for filing proofs, \$5; for filing any assignment of water right deed, \$1 for each water right assigned; and for filing any other instrument, \$1.

Description of Proposed Works:

It is proposed to construct an hydraulic fill dam in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 17, Township 10 North, Range 23 East, M.D.B.&M., the south end of which extends into the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of said Section. There will be a clay puddle core wall extending to a suitable foundation. The crest of the dam will be at an elevation of 5030 feet and the water line of the reservoir is proposed at 5010 feet. The present river bed elevation is approximately 4945 feet at the damsite, thus making the height of the dam 85 feet with 20 feet of freeboard. The upstream face of the dam will be covered with stone rip-rap. The cross section of the proposed dam, together with the maps showing the contours of the reservoir, consisting of four sheets in all, are submitted herewith and made a part of this application by reference.

- (4). An impounding dam is to be constructed across the West Walker River Channel at Hoyer Canyon, situated in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 17, Township 10 North, Range 23 East, M.D.M. & M., the South end of which extends into the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of said last named section. The section corner between Sections 17 and 18 of Township 10 North, Range 23 East, M. D. B. & M. bears North 34° 27' West 2580 feet from the northwest end of the center line of the dam. The water will be released into the river channel through gates in the dam and rediverted from the river into the various ditches within the boundaries of the Walker River Irrigation District.

(4b)

All of Township 9 North, Range 22 East, M.D.M., north of the Nevada-California State Line.

Sections 6, 7, 18 and 19, Township 9 North, Range 23 East, M.D.M.

Sections 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 34, 35, and 36, Township 10 North, Range 22 East, M.D.M.

Sections 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 30 and 31 of Township 10 North, Range 23 East, M.D.M.

Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of Township 10 North, Range 24 East, M.D.M.

The E $\frac{1}{2}$ and Sections 4, 9, and 16 of Township 11 North, Range 23 East, MDM

All of Township 11 North, Range 24, East, except Sections 1, 25, 26 & 36.

The E $\frac{1}{2}$ and Sections 4, 9, 16, 21, 28 and 33 of Township 12 North, Range 23 East, M.D.M.

Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17 and 18 of Township 11 North, Range 25 East, M.D.M.

Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of Township 11 North, Range 26 East, M.D.M.

The E $\frac{1}{2}$ and Sections 4, 9, 16, 21, 28 and 33 of Township 12 North, Range 25 East, M.D.M.

Sections, 4, 5, 6, 7, 18, 30, 31, 32, and 33 of Township 12 North, Range 26 East M.D.M.

All of Township 13 North, Range 25 East, except Sections 17, 18, 19, 20, 29, 30 and 31.

The W $\frac{1}{2}$ and Sections 2, 3, 10, 11, 14, 15, 22, and 23 of Township 13 North, Range 26 East, M.D.M.

Sections 1, 2, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of Township 14 North, Range 24 East, M.D.M.

All of Township 14 North, Range 25 East, M.D.M.

The W $\frac{1}{2}$ and Sections 22, 23, 26, 27, 34 and 35 of Township 14 North, Range 26 East, M.D.M.

The E $\frac{1}{3}$ of Township 15 North, Range 24 East, M.D.M.

The S $\frac{2}{3}$ of Township 15 North, Range 25 East, M.D.M.

Sections 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 of Township 15 North, Range 26 East, M.D.M.

Division #: 2

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
YERINGTON, NEVADA

Card #: 11000

Name: William G. Cremetti Trust & Ruby Regan Trust

c/o Verna Debrick

Address: 49 Eureka Dr.

Carson City, NV 89706

Court Decree: C-125, P. 23; Cremetti, B.

O. & M. Local No. 2

Index No.

Lyon County No.

Claim No.

Ditch

56

14-401-03

29

Campbell

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOPAZ RES. ACRE FEET	BRIDGEPORT RES. ACRE FEET	CLAIM #	TOTAL ACRES
1869	.660	56.000	3.2076		.006	.000				29	440.000
1874	.960	80.000	3.2076	4	.086	7.600				29	400.000
1878	.480	40.000	3.2076	17	.4039	16.160				29	40.000
1900	.720	60.000	3.2076	33	.7841	47.060				29	
NEWL		166.000	3.2076	66	1.6444	264.830					
EXCL		40.000				.000					
TOTAL	2.820	440.000	3.2076			326.640	326.64	223.19	102.46		326.640

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

SW 1/4 of NW 1/4, Sec. 2;
S 1/2 of NE 1/4, NW 1/4 of NE 1/4, SE 1/4 of NW 1/4,
N 1/2 of NW 1/4, Sec. 3;
T 13 N, R 26 E;
SW 1/4 of SE 1/4, S 1/2 of SW 1/4, Sec. 34;
SE 1/4 of SE 1/4, Sec. 33;
T 14 N, R 26 E.

DOCUMENT HISTORY:

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#40-1	10/17/66	Joel Cremetti to Glen & William Cremetti and Ruby Regan.
#176121	10/17/64	William Cremetti & Ruby Regan to William G. Cremetti, as Trustee of the William G. Cremetti Trust, dated 9/1/64 (1/2 int.) and Ruby C. Regan, Trustee of the Ruby C. Regan Trust, dated 9/1/64 (1/2 int.).

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS BTORNADE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOTAL RES. ACRE FEET	BRIDGE-PORT RES. ACRE FEET	
1869	.66	55.00	3.2076							
1874	.96	80.00		4	.0950	7.60				
1878	.48	40.00		17	.4039	16.16				
1900	.72	60.00		33	.7841	47.05				
Newland		165.00		65	1.5444	254.83				
Excluded		40.00								
	2.82	440.00				325.64	325.64	223.19	102.45	
TOTAL										

TOTAL ACRES: 440.00

 Water Rt. Acres 400.00
 Non Wt. Rt. Acres 40.00

 Area Feet Storage 325.64

200 Acres in O. & N. Local No. 2

May 18

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 2;

\$4 OF NET, AMT OF NET,

SET OF NM_2 , N_1 OF NM_2 , Sec. 3;

T 13 N - R 25 E.

SHt of SHt, St of SHt, Sec. 34;

SET of SET, Sec. 33;

T 14 N - R 25 E.

#40-1 - 10.17/55 - Josie Cremetti to Glen S William Cremetti and

Ruby Regan.

#176121 ~ 10/17/94 - William Cremetti and Ruby Regan to William G.

Cremet, as Trustee of the William G. Cremet

Trust, dated 9/1/94 (1 int.) and Ruby C. Regan

Trustee of the Ruby C. Regan Trust, dated

9/1/96 (1 for)

William Crenet

uby Regan co WILL

if the WILLIAM G. O

Int.) and Ruby C.

Regan Trust, dated

Cricket

Will

Ann. C. 1

Ruby C.

3. data

Division #: 4

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
YERINGTON, NEVADA

Card #: 4751
Name: William & Jacquelyn J. Botelho

Address: P. O. Box 45
Smith, NV 89430

Index No. 204 204A
Lyon County No. 12-331-04
Claim No. Pt. of 91
Ditch West Side Canal

Court Decree: C-126, P. 33; Lyon County Bank (Wilson)

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOPAZ RES. ACRE FEET	BRIDGEPORT RES. ACRE FEET	CLAIM #	TOTAL ACRES
1861	.400	26.000	4.2768			.000				91	406.100
1862	.240	16.000	4.2768			.000				91	161.800
1863	.240	16.000	4.2768			.000				91	
1864	.240	16.000	4.2768			.000				91	
1868	1.340	84.000	4.2768			.000				91	369.380
1872	.400	26.000	4.2768			.000				91	
1876	.120	7.500	4.2768	8	.2534	1.900				91	
1877	.620	32.600	4.2768	11	.3486	11.330				91	
1879	.080	6.000	4.2768	22	.697	3.480				91	
1880	.360	22.500	4.2768	30	.8604	21.380				91	
1903	.120	7.500	4.2768	34	1.0771	8.080				91	
NEWL		152.100	4.2768	66	2.0692	313.200					
EXCL		161.800	4.2768			.000					
TOTAL	4.060	667.900	4.2768			369.380	369.38	369.38	.00		

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

S 1/2 of SE 1/4, Sec. 28;
N 1/2 of NE 1/4, SE 1/4 of NE 1/4, E 1/2 of SE 1/4,
SW 1/4 of NE 1/4, W 1/2 of SE 1/4, Sec. 33;
Fr. SW 1/4, Sec. 34;
T 12 N, R 26 E.
NE 1/4 of NE 1/4, NW 1/4 of NE 1/4, Sec. 4;
6.92 acres in NW 1/4 of NW 1/4, Sec. 3;
T 11 N, R 26 E.

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#124722	05/25/99	Calvin & Virginia Baker and Steven Baker to Calvin & Virginia Baker and Steven & Cherie Baker.
#200483	12/06/96	Amend of Death of Joint Tenant - Virginia C. Baker Deceased.
#206027	06/06/97	Calvin E. Baker and Steven C. & Cherie A. Baker to Calvin E. Baker, Trustee of the Calvin E. Baker Survivor's Trust, an und. 1/2 int. and Steven C. & Cherie A. Baker, H&W as J.T. an und. 1/2 int.
#206838	06/29/97	Calvin E. Baker, Trustee of the Calvin E. Baker Survivor's Trust as to an und. 1/2 int. and Steven C. & Cherie A. Baker, H&W as J.T. as to an und. 1/2 int. to William D. & Jacquelyn J. Botelho, H&W as J.T.

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
VERINGTON, NEVADA

Division #: 2
Card #: 4300
Name: Thomas & Ruth E. Bobrick
Address: #8 Tognoli Lane
Verlinton, NV 89447
Court Decree: C-126, P. 26; Fox Ditch Co.

O. & M. Local No. 3

Index No. 299
Lyon County No. 12-071-28
Claim No. Pl. of 46
Ditch Fox

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOTAL RES. ACRE FEET	BRIDGEPORT RES. ACRE FEET	CLAIM #	TOTAL ACRES
1866	.237	19,760	3,2076			.000				46	70,390
1870	.216	18,020	3,2076			.000				46	70,390
1876	.179	14,890	3,2076	8	.1901	2,830				46	.000
1880	.086	7,200	3,2076	26	.894	4,260				46	
1886	.043	3,660	3,2076	29	.689	2,470				46	
1890	.019	1,670	3,2076	30	.7128	1,120				46	
1896	.023	1,900	3,2076	32	.7603	1,440				46	
NEWL		3,470	3,2076	66	1.5444	5,360					
TOTAL	.803	70,390	3,2076			17,600	17,60	.00	17,60		

Water Rt. Acres	70,390
Non Wtr Rt. Acres	.000
Acres Feet Storage	17,600

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

NW 1/4 of SW 1/4 less 7.84 acres,
SW 1/4 of NW 1/4, less 3.61 acres, Sec. 26;
T 13 N, R 26 E.

DOCUMENT HISTORY:

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#167823	01/13/94	Thomas & Ruth Bobrick to Hurewille Enterprises, a General Partnership.
0	04/18/94	removed Newland for Rosaschl Parcels (4.26 Ac.) - Dane, Miner, Bromley, Rosaschl, Blackham, & Rosaschl.
#181614	04/28/95	Record of Survey for Boundary Line Adjustment for Thomas & Ruth Bobrick and Perl & Perl.
#181616	04/28/95	Perl & perl and Thomas & Ruth Ellen Bobrick to Perl & Perl, a General Partnership.
#181776	03/28/96	A Parcel Map for Thomas & Ruth Ellen Bobrick (Parcels 12-071-26, 27 & 28).

Division #: 4

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
YERINGTON, NEVADA

Card #: 221
Name: Dennis J. & Linda L. Acclari

Address: P.O. Box 36
Smith, NV 89430

O. & M. Local No. 1

Index No. P4 86-A
Lyon County No. 10-192.01
Claim No.
Ditch Colony

Court Decree:

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOTAL ACRES	BRIDGEPORT ACRES	CLAIM #
NEWL		80.000	4.2768	66	2.0692	164.740	164.74	80.000		
TOTAL		80.000				164.740	164.74	164.740	.00	

TOTAL ACRES	80.000
Water Rt. Acres	80.000
Non Wtr Rt. Acres	.000
Acres Feet Storage	164.740

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
N 1/2 of SE 1/4, Sec. 2;
T. 11 N., R. 23 E.

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#40-416	06/06/66	August & Henrietta Bunkowski to Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company.
#49-282	07/18/66	Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company to Ferd & Kathryn Sturtevant.
#72487	01/19/83	Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company to Kathryn B. Sturtevant.
#84086 & 84082	07/17/86	Ferdinand & Kathryn B. Sturtevant to Andrew Julius & Rose Marie Bunkowski.
#129989	12/22/89	Julius & Rose Bunkowski to Flying A Limited Partnership.
#219386	06/28/98	Flying "A" Ranch, a limited partnership to Dennis J. & Linda L. Acclari, Husband & Wife as Joint Tenants (10-192.01).
#219387	06/28/98	Easement for transportation of water.

Division #: 3

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
YERINGTON, NEVADA

Card #: 400
Name: Pete A. & Estelle M. Alazzi
Address: 180 Denmore Lane
Yerlington, NV 89447
Court Decree: C-126, P.23; Dellamontica, Modesto

O. & M. Local No. 3

Index No. 13, 71, & 8
Lyon County No. 14-531-05
Claim No. Pl. of 34
Ditch Nichol Merritt

PRIORITY	C.F.S.	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOTAL ACRE FEET	BRIDGEPONT RES. ACRE FEET	CLAIM #
1871	.160	13.000	3.2076			.000		.00	.00	34
TOTAL	.160	13.000				.000		.00	.00	

TOTAL ACRES	13.000
Water Rtl. Acres	13.000
Non Wtr Rtl. Acres	.000
Acres Feet Storage	.000

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
Fr. W 1/2 of SW 1/4, Sec. 1;
T 13 N, R 26 E.

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#43-607	03/01/81	Leo J. & Modestina Tucker to Darle & Pauline H. Lottmori.
#46-361	12/18/83	Leo & Modestina Tucker to McDei Matheson.
#62-430	05/05/89	Leo & Modestina Tucker to Pete A. & Estelle M. Alazzi.

U.S. Department of Justice



Environment and Natural Resources Division

Denver Field Office
995 18th Street
Suite 945 North Tower
Denver, CO 80202

Telephone (303) 312-7308
Facsimile (303) 312-7379

By telefacsimilie and regular U.S. mail transmission:

November 15, 2000

Gordon H. DePaoli
Woodburn, Wedge & Jeppson
Post Office Box 2311
Reno, Nevada 89505

Re: United States v. Walker River Irrigation Dist.,
No. C-125-B (D. Nev.).

Dear Mr. DePaoli:

During our status conference of October 16, 2000 before Magistrate Judge McQuaid, the United States, the Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe"), the State of Nevada, the State of California, and the United States Board of Water Commissioners ("U.S. Board") conveyed that we were working together to identify and share information in the parties' possession that named the individuals and entities within the categories set forth in paragraph 3 of the Court's Case Management Order (Apr. 18, 2000) ("CMO"). It is apparent from your letter of November 2, 2000 that while the Walker River Irrigation District ("District") has identified relevant information, it is not following that identification with a comparable level of production of that information.

As a basic matter, the District maintains that the information it has relates only to the following two subcategories of paragraph 3 of the CMO:

- (a) The successors in interest to all water rights holders under the Decree (April 14, 1936), modified, Order for Entry of Amended Final Decree to Conform to Writ of Mandate, Etc. (April 24, 1940) ("1936[] Decree[]"),
- (B) All holders of surface water rights under the laws of the States of Nevada and California in the Walker River Basin who are not presently parties to this adjudication.

In addition to the District's assessment roll, which you state is "the best information the District has" regarding the categories set forth in the CMO, DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 2, there are at least three other kinds of information in the District's possession that relate to identification of individuals who must be served with the first amended counterclaims of the United States and the Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe"): 1) the District's index cards; 2) the District's voter and election information; and 3) the District's list of water rights that are not connected to land ownership. The District has provided us with the assessment roll, but except for limited examples of the index cards, it has not provided us with these two kinds of additional information.

You have asked us to justify to your satisfaction why this information may be needed for the purpose

identified by the Court. While it is, of course, hard to make such a justification as the parties not in possession of these documents, for the reasons set forth below, we believe that this additional information is critical to our effort to identify all those who may be affected by the United States' and the Tribe's water rights claims. By this letter, therefore, we request that you provide this information to us.

I. INFORMATION THAT WILL HELP US IDENTIFY INDIVIDUALS WHO WE MUST SERVE.

A. District Assessment Roll.

On November 3, we received your package with the hard copy and computer disk of the District's current assessment roll. This information updates the assessment roll that District has provided in the past. The District now claims that "the enclosed assessment roll in hard copy and computer format is the best information the District has concerning the identity of individuals and entities with claims to surface water in categories 3(a) and 3(b) of the Case Management Order." DePaoli letter, Nov. 2 at 2.

This assertion does not appear to be accurate in light of the District's descriptions of the content and purpose of its assessment roll, our review of the roll, and the other sources of information we describe below. First, the District has repeatedly described the assessment roll as limited to "the name and address of the individual or entity that the District bills its assessment to." DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 1. Thus, according to the District, its purpose in keeping an assessment roll is to provide a list of addresses for billing statements, not to keep track of specific water rights and the identity of the individuals and entities who currently hold them.

The District stated in an earlier letter:

In most instances, the name appearing on the assessment roll should accurately identify the current record title holder of a Walker River Decree water right. In some instances, however, the name present on the assessment roll may not accurately identify the current record title holder of a particular Walker River Decree water rights. This may occur, for example, when the District does not receive a copy of the document conveying title to the water right from one individual to another, where the information received is not correctly interpreted, or where the ownership of the water right is different than the ownership of the land to which it is appurtenant.

DePaoli Letter, Oct. 5, 2000 at 2. However, this appears to overstate the contents of the assessment roll, since it is simply an alphabetical listing of names and addresses that the District uses as a mailing list for collection of assessments. While it may be logical to assume that most of these names represent owners of water rights and that most of them hold some water rights under the 1936 Decree as original owners or successors in interest, nothing in this list indicates what water right is connected to each name, whether the person or entity is the owner/successor in interest of a water right under the 1936 Decree, or whether the named person or entity is, in fact, an owner of any water right.

The District more recently wrote that: "The Recorders Office, however, only forwards deeds to the District which it believes include or involve the conveyance of a water right within the District. Upon receiving the deeds, the District's staff reviews them and subsequently updates its records based upon that review." DePaoli Letter, Oct. 5 at 2. Thus, this simply indicates that there should be some records in the District's office that reflect the District's ongoing effort to update its list of the owners of water rights within the District. Clearly, the assessment roll, as presented to us, is not a record that purports to identify water rights, their owners or any conveyances of such water rights. While the roll

may provide a significant amount of useful information, supplementation of this information by the other documents that the District seeks to withhold appears to be warranted.

B. District Index Cards.

The District has identified its index cards as a source of information for identification of water rights holders in the Walker River Basin. In October, the District identified its index cards as one of, "two sources of information that are updated with information received from the Lyon County Recorder's Office and ... may be helpful in ultimately identifying the successors in interest to Walker River Decree water rights holders." DePaoli Letter, Oct. 5 at 2. In its most recent letter, however, the District asserts that its index cards "provide no information on identification of such persons and entities which is not already provided by the assessment roll." DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 2. This is plainly not the case. The District's own correspondence describes much of the information available on the index cards that is not on its assessment roll:

The index cards contain a description of a particular water right and of the land to which that water right is appurtenant. Some may also contain a document history that identifies deeds or other documents in the chain of title of or related to the particular real property at issue. This history may or may not describe all documents in the chain of title to the real property. The index cards also list the Lyon County assessors parcel number(s) associated with the particular parcel of real property and the name of the individual or entity that the District assesses with respect to that real property. Some cards may have other information on them such as a cross-reference to an earlier index card.

DePaoli Letter, Oct. 5 at 2-3. In addition, the examples provided to us show that each index card identifies which water right in the 1936 Decree it concerns. This information, as well as the other information on the face of the District's index cards, identifies the parameters of the specific water right, the identity of the water right holder, and some or all of the history of the ownership of that water right. There should be no doubt that this information directly pertains to the identification of the persons and entities described generally in paragraph 3 of the Court's CMO.

The District also has agreed to provide the United States and the Tribe with examples of the District's computer version of the index cards. *Status Report Submitted By The United States of America And The Walker River Paiute Tribe In Advance Of This Court's Status Conference Of October 16, 2000* (Oct. 13, 2000). We are uncertain if the six pages you sent us of index card examples are hard copies or computerized copies. Our understanding is that you are to provide us with samples of the index cards so that we may determine if we would like to obtain them. The District must then explore computer reproduction of this information. Please clarify this issue for us. As stated above, the examples of the index cards show that they contain information that is highly relevant to our identification of water rights holders in the Walker River Basin, which justifies the District's production of them to us. We, therefore, reiterate our request for hard copies of the cards as well as the computerized information.

In fact, our contractor's recent review of the 1999 and 2000 WRID assessment roll is instructive on this issue. There are over 60 listings out of 536 listings on the 1999 list that do not appear on the 2000 list. WRID has not provided us with the basis for these changes. There are over 140 new listings out of 565 listings on the 2000 roll; although some of these appear to be address changes, over 100 of these appear to be changes in ownership. WRID has not provided us with any information regarding these changes either. Based on our review of the sample WRID index cards, discussed below, these index cards should provide information regarding the bases for these changes. Moreover, there may be other documents in the WRID offices that explain these changes. For example, Nevada law requires persons to whom an adjudicated or unadjudicated right is conveyed to file a report of

conveyance with the State Engineer that includes an abstract of title and "a copy of any deed, written agreement or other document pertaining to the conveyance." Nev. Rev. Stat. § 533.384 (1)(a) (1995). For places of use of such water that are wholly or in part within the boundaries of an irrigation district, this same information is to be filed with the irrigation district. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 533.384 (1)(b) (1995). Thus, WRID should have additional documents in its offices that set forth the identity of water rights holders. We request that you provide these documents as well.

Notably, the U.S. Board has identified information responsive to the Court's CMO including both its assessment roll and index cards as relevant sources of information, and has provided that information to us. Our understanding is that the U.S. Board and the District use the same index cards. Examination of sample cards from both offices confirms this information. We understand that both offices use the same computer system to compile and update these cards. Thus, it is striking that the U.S. Board would provide both of these sources of information as responsive to the Court's directive, while the District maintains that the more detailed of these information sources is not helpful.

C. District Voter and Election Information.

There are several sources of District voter and election information. The United States and the Tribe identified this information to the District, based on a review of Nevada State law applicable to the operation of an irrigation company, and inquired into its contents. As we understand, the District maintains a variety of election-related information: 1) eligible voter registrations; 2) voter oaths of continued eligibility to vote; 3) consents and designations by which eligible voters consent to or designate a person to vote for them; 4) a computer-generated "Registrar List Worksheet," indicating, among other things, where an elector is eligible to vote, and the voter's associated water right acres and number of votes (this list is also available by hard copy); 5) a computer-generated Registrar List, alphabetically by elector (this list is also available by hard copy); and 6) a poll book including precinct, voter name, number of votes to be cast, and signatures of persons voting. You assert that while the District voter and election information is basically not protected from disclosure,^{1/} this "information provides no more and in some case less information on identification than does the assessment roll." DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 2.

The District's present assertion does not appear to be supported by its prior description of some of this information:

Nevada Revised Statutes § 531.127 requires electors to be registered in order to vote.... [V]oters are required to take and subscribe the registration oath before a Board election on a form provided by the District as evidence of continued eligibility.... Nevada Revised Statutes § 539.123 allows certain persons and entities to consent to a particular person voting for them or to designate a person to vote for them.... The District is able to generate from its computer a "Registrar List Worksheet." The Registrar List Worksheet lists electors in alphabetical order, indicates ... the water rights acres of the elector and the number of votes the elector is eligible to vote. It includes blanks for noting whether the elector is registered and whether the elector voted in the last election.

DePaoli Letter, Oct. 10, 2000 at 2-3. Thus, it appears that this information will identify persons entitled to vote regarding each water right, and those individuals should be the owners of the water right. Moreover, since the District reports that its last election was in April 1999, this information should be

^{1/}The letter notes that in some instances a voter's address might be protected. DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 2. From the context of this statement, we assume that this issue does not apply here.

current as of that date.

D. District List of Water Rights not Connected to Land Ownership.

The District's most recent letter did not mention its new list of water rights that are not connected to the ownership of the land to which they are appurtenant. Please send us a copy of this list.

II. REVIEW AND COPYING.

The District's most recent letter creates an impression that the District does not wish to cooperate with our contractors when they arrange to review and copy materials in the District's offices. Rather, the clear impression is that the District will make this process as time-consuming and costly as possible.

First is the matter of copying costs. Our understanding is that the District has a copy machine in its office and allows the U.S. Board to make copies at \$.10 per copy. Our contractors inquired about using this machine and assumed that the rates charged us would likely be the same as those charged the U.S. Board. In fact, we had discussed with our contractor the possibility of his bringing paper with him when making copies as part of our appreciation of the District's cooperation in this matter. Instead, we learn from your letter that the District will insist on \$.25 per copy, with the exception of charges of \$1.00 for each copy of any deed copied. Such disparity in copying charges is arbitrary and serves only to make our efforts more difficult.

Second, the District's letter appears to raise a variety of procedural obstacles for the inspection of its records. The District asserts that there is no need for our contractor to visit the District's office, but if he does,

we will need to proceed in a manner which minimizes disruption in the District's day-to-day business and which insures the integrity of District records.... Persons are required to describe the information sought. District personnel retrieve the information and if a copy is requested, make the copy. That is how we should proceed here. If the magnitude of your request is large and either existing or temporary District staff must spend substantial time retrieving and copying information, there will be a charge based upon the time involved and that person's cost to the District and also a charge of .25 per page copied.

DePaoli letter, Nov. 2 at 3. This statement appears to limit our examination to one document at a time, assuming we can identify it to the staff's satisfaction, then ask for and wait for staff to copy that document before proceeding to the next document. This procedure will be exceptionally time-consuming, in addition to costly, when it should be a relatively simple matter of providing the information set forth above. *see supra* parts I(B)-(D), which our contractor may copy without any disruption of the District's daily business activities. There clearly is no need for a District employee to dedicate all of his or her time to releasing an individual document to our contractor, copying it, and moving on to the next document. We are unwilling, in any event, to pay that individual's salary when the documents could easily be provided for review and copying.

Moreover, since we seek the identity of the water rights holders, we will be unable to proceed by providing their identities to allow the District office to pull out that individual file. Thus, the system described in your recent letter appears designed to ensure that we do not obtain a complete set of information, if any at all. Surely there is some way to produce a set of documents, for example, the

whole set of index cards.²⁷ in a manner that allows for efficient production inspection and copying.

It is certainly our intent to review documents in as unobtrusive a manner as possible, and to cause as little disruption as possible to the operations of District staff. We have always assumed that our contractors would make whatever copies they sought, which will lessen any burden for District staff. We are also prepared, if need be, to bring in our own copying machine. Moreover, since the annual assessment is done and the irrigation season is now over, it would appear that the District's staff is less burdened than it might be at other times of the year.

Of course, there may be some alternatives to our coming to the District offices that we can explore with the Court. One option would be to subpoena the District's records for review in Court. Another option would be to request to conduct discovery for the limited purpose of obtaining the information sought.

III. CONCLUSION.

All of the above-described information appears to go to identification of the individuals and entities within the categories set forth in paragraph 3 of the Court's CMO. To the extent that the District maintains that any of them is not complete, much of that concern may be met by a review of all of these sources so that they may supplement each other to provide the best information available from the District. Taken as a whole, we should be able to identify and locate most of the water rights holders within categories 3(a) and 3(b) of the CMO.

Your letter of November 2, 2000 reminds us that the CMO stays all discovery while allowing the Magistrate Judge to determine how the United States and the Tribe will obtain information "to enable them to identify the individuals and entities with claims to surface water and/or groundwater in the Walker River Basin who are the appropriate counterdefendants" CMO ¶ 7. "None of us should lose sight of that purpose; nor should we make our efforts to achieve it unnecessarily complicated." DePaoli Letter, Nov. 2 at 2. We agree.


We hope that you can discuss this matter further with your client so that we may come to an agreement promptly on the review and copying of the information discussed in this letter. To that end, the Magistrate Judge's chambers have indicated that there is time to review the issue of the District's disclosure of this information on Friday, November 17 (at 1:30 p.m.), or during the week of November 27, after the Thanksgiving holiday. It is our hope that we can reach agreement without the Court's assistance, but we are prepared to involve the Court if necessary to resolve this matter so that we may get our service efforts underway. If the Court's assistance is required, please advise me as soon as possible so that I may schedule a time with Magistrate McQuaid. Please be advised that our contractor is planning to travel to Nevada during the week of December 3, so that we wish to resolve

²⁷There should be no argument that some of the index cards are producible, but some are not. Your letters indicate that the cards all contain the same kind of information and, therefore, all of the cards should be producible at once.

these issues promptly.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Susan L. Schneider".

Susan L. Schneider, Attorney
Indian Resources Section
U.S. Department of Justice
999 18th Street
Suite 945, North Tower
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 312-7308

cc: Alice E. Walker
Linda Bowman
Marta Adams
Mary Hackenbracht
Michael Neville
George Benesch

Case 3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 3 Filed 12/19/00 Page 31 of 40

JAMES L. HALLUM
 RICHARD C. KWAPPEL
 CLAY W. MCLAUGHLIN
 CHRISTOPHER H. DEPAOLI
 SCOTT L. FURSTBERG
 JUDITH A. KIRK
 STEPHEN S. KENT
 W. CHRIS WICKER
 STEWART H. SHADLER
 NIKK S. SCHLIMMCHER
 LYNN K. JONES

WOODBURN AND WEDGE
 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
 6100 NEIL ROAD
 SUITE 500
 RENO, NEVADA 89511
 P.O. BOX 2311, RENO, NV 89505
 (775) 688-3000
 FACSIMILE (775) 688-3008

DEBRA L. ANGER
 DON L. ROSS
 CHRISTOPHER BARNARD
 DALE E. BERGLUND
 RANDY A. ORAKE
 KIMBERLY L. KIDORE

WILLIAM K. WOODBURN (1994-1995)
 VIRGIL H. WEDGE (1995-2000)

November 22, 2000

Gordon H. DePaoli
 E-MAIL: gdepaoli@woodburnlaw.com
 DIRECT DIAL: (775) 688-3010

Via Federal Express and Facsimile (303) 312-7379

Susan L. Schneider
 United States Department of Justice
 Environment & Natural Resources Division
 999 18th Street, Suite 945
 Denver, CO 80202

Via U.S. Mail and Facsimile (303) 444-3490

Alice E. Walker
 Greene, Meyer & McElroy
 1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220
 Boulder, Colorado 80302

**Re: United States and Walker River Tribe v. Walker River Irrigation
 District, et al.
 Our File No. 1709.0063**

Dear Susan and Alice:

Susan, thank you for your letter of November 15, 2000. Hopefully, we can reach an agreement on the issues between us which will avoid any need for intervention by the Magistrate.

District Assessment Roll.

It appears from your letter of November 15, 2000 that you misunderstand the significance of the District Assessment Roll to your effort to identify individuals and entities with claims to surface water within categories 3(a) and 3(b) of the Case Management Order. In addition, you misunderstand why I believe the Assessment Roll is the "best" information the District has with respect to the identity of such individuals and entities.

Susan L. Schneider
Alice Walker
November 22, 2000
Page 2

Except in situations where there is an agreement under NRS § 539.513(6), the District assesses land with an appurtenant water right. Thus, from the District's standpoint its assessment roll constitutes an identification of owners of surface water within categories 3(a) and 3(b) of the Case Management Order who own land within the District. In other words, from the District's perspective those persons are owners of water rights.

In your letter of November 15, 2000, you complain that the District's assessment list does not indicate "what water right is connected to each name, whether the person is the owner/successor in interest of a water right under the 1936 Decree, or whether the named person or entity is, in fact owner of any water right." Schneider letter, Nov. 15, 2000 at 2. What your letter does not state is why you need to know that additional information, given the fact that the District Assessment Roll is an identification of owners of land with appurtenant surface water rights within the District. If you believe the District's Assessment Roll is not accurate or if you wish to check its accuracy, the best way to check it is to take the District Assessment Roll and use the names on it to trace ownerships back in time using the Grantee Index in the Lyon County Recorder's Office.

In your letter of November 15, 2000, you state that "there should be some records in the District's office that reflect the District's ongoing effort to update its list of owners of water rights within the District." Schneider Letter, Nov. 15, 2000 at 2. You specifically note differences between the District's 1999 and 2000 Assessment Rolls. Schneider Letter, Nov. 15, 2000 at 3. The records which the District uses for that purpose are the deeds which it receives from the Lyon County Recorder. As set forth in my letter of November 2, 2000, you or your agent may inspect and copy those deeds. There is approximately one file cabinet drawer of such deeds. The District has not received any Reports of Conveyance from the State Engineer's Office.

District Index Cards.

The names and addresses on the District Assessment Roll are taken from the District Index Cards, and as noted above, the Assessment Roll provides an identification of owners of water rights within the District. Nevertheless, you continue to request hard copies and computer generated copies of the District Index Cards.

Before addressing your specific request, let me first clarify what the District has with respect to index cards. The District has what it considers "current" index cards, *i.e.*, those on which it bases its assessment roll and "inactive" or "cancelled" index cards which appear to date from 1919. Its "current" index cards are in hard copy and can be computer generated. However, except for recently "inactive" cards, the "cancelled" or "inactive" cards are only in hard copy. There is no one on the District staff who knows whether the "inactive" and "cancelled" index cards are all the cards ever maintained. I am enclosing copies of some cancelled cards. It appears that the dates on the cards had more to do with the payment of bonds than anything else.

Susan L. Schneider
Alice Walker
November 22, 2000
Page 3

With respect to the "current" index cards, the cards enclosed with my November 2, 2000 letter were generated by the computer. For your review, I am enclosing card No. 221 as generated by the computer and in hard copy.

Although you have not explained why you need more than the District's Assessment Roll, and subject to the provisions on review and copying below, the District will provide you with a copy of all of its current index cards. At your option, the copy can be either the hard copy or the computer generated copy. If you believe you need to copy the cancelled cards please advise.

District Voter and Election Information.

Probably, if I had sent you examples of District voter and election information, you would have better understood why I don't believe that information is of much use to you. I am enclosing copies of the following:

- (1) March 18, 1983 Registration and April 6, 1999 Oath of one District elector;
- (2) Registrar List Worksheet 3/15/99;
- (3) Smith Valley Precinct Poll Book April 6, 1999; and
- (4) Mason Valley Precinct Poll Book April 6, 1999.

Although I do not believe the information will be of any use to you beyond the Assessment Roll which you already have and subject to the provisions below, the District will also allow you or your agent to inspect and on request will copy the designations made under NRS § 539.123 which the District has from the 1999 election.

District List of Reserved Water Rights

Enclosed is a copy of the District's list of water rights owned by persons or entities who are different than the owner of the land to which the water right is appurtenant. Its omission from my November 2, 2000 letter was an oversight.

Holders of Surface Water Rights Under Nevada and California Law Who Are Not Successors.

It has come to my attention that the District does have some information relative to persons who have applied for surface water rights to the Nevada State Engineer or to the State of California. I have briefly looked at that information. It appears quite old. In some instances, it appears to include information which might involve the attorney-client privilege. It would take a substantial period of time to review this information to determine its exact nature and whether some of it is protected from disclosure.

Because the two States are a much better source of more complete information in this regard, I do not intend to review the District's information. It would impose unnecessary cost

Susan L. Schneider
Alice Walker
November 22, 2000
Page 4

and expense on the District to require such a review for purposes of making some or all of it available for review by you or your agent.

Review and Copying

The following will be available for review by your contractor during the week of December 3, 2000:

- (1) Current Index Cards in hard copy;
- (2) Voter Registration and Oath Cards;
- (3) Voter Designations from the 1999 Election; and
- (4) Deeds received from the Lyon County Recorder.

In your November 15, 2000 letter, you suggest that my letter of November 2, 2000 limits your "examination to one document at a time." Schneider letter, Nov. 15, 2000 at 5. That is not what my letter said or intended. Documents within the categories listed above can be provided to your contractor several at a time in a manner that will keep them properly organized. For example, categories 1 and 2 are maintained alphabetically and can be provided covering several letters at a time. Category 3 is a single file; it can be provided in that manner. Category 4 is kept in numeric sequence and can be provided many documents at a time.

Contrary to the statement in your letter, I do not anticipate copying 1 document at a time. I expect that while your contractor reviews the above documents, he will keep track of everything that he desires be copied. When you or your contractor have identified the documents to be copied, the District will undertake that process in a manner which is expeditious and insures the integrity of its records.

The charges for copying will be as set forth in my letter of November 2, 2000. The charge of 25 cents per page is the District's normal charge. Its cost sharing arrangements with the U.S. Board of Water Commissioners, which benefit all water users, are not relevant to this matter. Although the District pays the Lyon County Recorder \$1.00 per page for the deeds which it receives from that entity, in view of the fact that if you request copies of these deeds from the District you will be getting a copy of a copy, the charge for those deeds will be .25 per page.

Rather than burdening the Magistrate with the issue of employee time now, I suggest we wait to see exactly what you want copied and how much time is involved. It is not my client's purpose to impose unreasonable burdens on the United States; however, the District employees work for and are paid by the District and, contrary to your suggestion, they are busy throughout

Susan L. Schneider
Alice Walker
November 22, 2000
Page 5

the year with District business. Moreover, if you decide to obtain the Index Cards from the computer, I suspect the employee time involved will be substantially less.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,


Gordon H. DePaoli

GHD:phe
Enclosures

cc: Marta Adams
Linda Bowman
Mary Hackenbracht
Michael Neville
George Benesch
(all via facsimile and U.S. Mail)

Division #: 4

WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
VERINGTON, NEVADA

Cald # 221
Name: Dennis J. & Linda L. Accardi
Address: P.O. Box 38
Smith, NV 89430

O. & M. Local No. 1

Index No. P# 88-A
Lyon County No. 10-192-01
Claim No.
Ditch Colony

Court Decree:

PRIORITY	C.F. &	ACRES	DUTY	DAYS STORAGE	ACRE FEET REQUIRED PER ACRE	ACRE FEET TOTAL	ACRE FEET APPORTIONED	TOTAL ACRES	ACRE FEET	ACRE FEET	ACRE FEET	ACRE FEET	CLAIM #
DEWEL		80.000	4.2768	85	2.0692	164.740	164.740		164.74	164.74		.00	
TOTAL		80.000				164.740	164.740		164.74	164.74		.00	

TOTAL ACRES	80.000
Water R. Acres	80.000
Non WR R. Acres	.000
Acres Feet Storage	164.740

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

W 1/2 of SE 1/4, Sec. 2;
T. 11 N., R. 23 E.

DOCUMENT HISTORY:

DOCUMENT #	DATE	DESCRIPTION
#00410	06/06/66	August & Henrietta Bunkowski to Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company.
#49182	07/16/66	Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company to Ferd & Kathryn Sturdevant.
#72497	03/19/63	Bunkowski Land & Livestock Company to Kathryn B. Sturdevant.
#0086 & 94082	07/17/66	Ferdinand & Kathryn B. Sturdevant to Andrew Julius & Rose Marie Bunkowski.
#729989	12/22/69	Julius & Rose Bunkowski to Flying A Limited Partnership.
#213386	06/23/98	Flying "A" Ranch, a limited partnership to Dennis J. & Linda L. Accardi, Husband & Wife as Joint Tenants (70-192-01).
#293187	05/28/98	Easement for transportation of water.

Computer generated DW

**WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
VERINGTON, NEVADA**

Index No. Pt. 85A
Lyon County No. 10-192-01
Ditch Colony
Claim No. _____

[illegible]

O & M Local #1

NY of SEL Sec. 2:

T 11 N - R 23 E - 80 acres

See Card #16450 - FLYING A For Previous Transactions

40-416 - 6/6/56 - August 6 Henrietta Bunkowski to Bunkowski Land and Livestock Company.

49-282 - 7/18/66 -Bukowski Land & Livestock Company to Ford &

Kathlyn Sturtevant.

Sturtevant:

94085 - 7/17/85 - Ferdinand & Kathryn B. Sturtevant to Andrew
94082 Julius & Rose Marie Dinkowich.
94082

#129989 - 12/22/89 - Julius & Rose Bukowski to Flying A Limited

Partnership

#219385 - 5/28/98 - Elyse "A" Ranch, a Limited Partnership to

Dennis J. & Linda L. Accardi, Husband & Wife as

Joint Tenants (10-192-01).

#219487 - 5/28/98 - Reagent for transportation of water.

2

STATE OF NEVADA, } ss.
COUNTY OF LYON }

Joseph E. Scirene being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the elector whose name appears on the face of this card; the several statements thereon contained affecting my qualifications as an elector are true; I am able to mark my ballot (or I am unable to mark my ballot by reason of the physical disabilities on this card specified). And I am not registered elsewhere within the Walker River Irrigation District, and claim no right to vote elsewhere than in the precinct on this card specified at any election upon matters pertaining to said Irrigation District, so help me God.

I am, or have declared my intention to become a citizen of the United States, am over the age of twenty-one years, and am, or properly represent under the law in pursuance of which this election is to be held, the holder of title or evidence of title to at least five acres of land as defined in said law within the boundaries of the Walker River Irrigation District.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

18th day of MARCH 1983

Joseph E. Scirene
Joseph E. Scirene
Registrar

7

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF LYON) ss,

Joe Scirene being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the elector whose name appears on the face of this card; the several statements thereon contained affecting my qualifications as an elector are true; I am able to mark my ballot (or I am unable to mark my ballot by reason of the physical disabilities on this card specified). And I am not registered elsewhere within the Walker River Irrigation District, and claim no right to vote elsewhere than in the precinct on this card specified at any election upon matters pertaining to said Irrigation District, so help me God.

I am, or have declared my intention to become, a citizen of the United States, am over the age of eighteen years, and am, or properly represent under the law in pursuance of which this election is to be held, the bona fide holder of title or evidence of title, as defined in the law, to 565.18 acres of land within the boundaries of the Walker River Irrigation District and such holdings is for all purposes and not simply for this election or matters connected therewith.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

10th day of APRIL 1999

Joe Scirene
Joe Scirene
Registrar

Registrar List Worksheet

Case 3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 3 Filed 12/19/00 Page 39 of 40

03/15/1999

Division	Registered Voter	Name	W/R Acres	Votes	Voted in Last Election
4	R	Dennis J. & Linda L. Accardi	80.00	8	
3	R	Alazzi Ranches <u>JIM, RENO</u>	480.00	23	V
3	R	James K Alazzi Trust + <u>RENO AIAZZI TRUST</u>	380.00	22	V
3		Pete A. & Estelle M. Alazzi	13.00	2	
3	R	Wilbert Angelo Alazzi	51.80	8	V
3	R	Wilbert Alazzi, Peggy Blier	248.40	21	V
1		William E. & Florence M. Albino	23.44	3	
4	R	Albright Family Trust <u>Cheryl + Sam</u>	40.00	4	
2	R	C. Fred Aldridge and <u>TERRY BUNKOWSKI</u>	28.39	3	
4		Edward A. Andrews Trust	30.00	3	
		Allan & Susan Anker	448.82	23	
5	R	Annett Ranch <u>ALPMA</u>	180.00	18	
		Mark H. and Deborah F. Aright	.35	1	
		Arsenio Family Trust	240.00	21	
3		Janice F. & Kimberly L. Ash	2.51	1	
2		Elmo E. & Margot A. Aylor	2.47	1	
5		Bacon Trust, <u>MILTON, TRUSTEE</u>	768.52	28	
1		Donald A. & Olga M. Balaam	2.80	1	
		David G. & Cynthia R. Bammmer	5.75	1	
2	R	Ronald T. and Sylvia J. Banta	.54	1	
2	R	Ronald T. Banta	.48	1	V
1		Joe S. & Lorraine F. Baptiste	50.00	5	
2	(R)	Bar Keystone Ranch (<u>PARTNERSHIP</u>) <u>Andrew</u> <u>7710 acres</u>	180.00	18	
1		Harriet H. Barber	840.00	25	
2	R	Fred & Josephine Batchelder	32.53	4	
		Ronald J. & Barbara C. Bath	3.61	1	
4	R	Batjer Family Trust <u>CAMERON</u>	80.00	8	
		Billy Roy & Thelma G. Beagle	1.27	1	
2		James C. & Terri L. Bednark	6.13	1	
		Richard H. & C. Ellen Behn	18.00	2	
4		Brian William Bell	1.00	1	
4		Bennett/W and L Trust	4.53	1	
		Janet S. Bennett	5.00	1	

Registrar List Worksheet

Case 3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 3 Filed 12/19/00 Page 40 of 40

03/18/1999

Division	Registered Voter	Name	W/R Acres	Votes	Voted In Last Election
		Charles J. Benninger	18.88	2	
		Bentley Family LTD.	495.63	23	
		Leo P. Bergin	5.39	1	
		Steven D. & Nadine Berrington	2.60	1	
4		Gary M. & Susan P. Berrington	40.00	4	
		Davki E. & Marilyn L. Biggs	17.00	2	
3	R	Ken & Peggy Blier Family Trst	158.38	16	✓
		Blackham Trust	.71	1	
1		Blakely Family Living Trust	160.00	16	
2		Blasco Family Ltd Partnership	690.74	25	
2	R	Thomas & Ruth E. Bobrick	123.45	13	✓
2		Dolores J. Bobzien	1.04	1	
		Billy Frank and Julie Ann Bolt	2.02	1	
3		Billy Frank Bolt	4.33	1	
1		Karen Booth	10.06	2	
		Dirk A. & Carolyn C. Booth	3.00	1	
2	R	Gloria Ranch, Inc. <u>DAVE P., DAVID D., NORMAN C</u>	720.00	26	✓
4	(R)	William & Jacquelyn J. Botelho	408.10	23	
		Albert S. & Olivia V. Bozalk	11.50	2	
2	R	Bradleyville <u>DIANE BRADLEY</u>	40.00	4	
1		Clarence D. & Janet Brothauer	30.00	3	
2	R	Bromley Properties <u>Tom Jr.</u>	.71	1	✓
2		Samuel P. Bronnke	3.84	1	
2		Shelby Dana & Betty Jean Brown	1.00	1	
4		Eleanor E. Brown	4.64	1	
3		Carrol J. & Marilyn M. Brown	.44	1	
3		Joni Wesley & Sandra G. Brown	13.67	2	
1		Ross Brown	2.52	1	
5	R	Vernon F. Bryan, Inc. <u>Vernon Jr., ROBERT</u>	320.00	22	✓
4		Freeman C. & Lucy D. Burchett	1.00	1	
4		Joseph Lee Burchett	7.37	1	
4		Kenneth R. & Sharon L. Burnett	80.00	8	
2		David C. & Gayle L. Butler	.38	1	